# Center Independent School District Center Middle School 2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan



# **Mission Statement**

Center ISD recognizes that each student has individual needs and that all students are diverse learners. As a result, the mission of Center ISD is to challenge each student to reach his or her intellectual, creative, and physical potential by providing a fully integrated curriculum and rigorous instruction. In doing so, Center ISD will provide a nurturing learning environment that empowers all stakeholders to become confident, creative designers of their future and will provide opportunities for collaboration to develop respect for individual differences and community values.

# Vision

Preparing Students for Their Future

# **Core Beliefs**

WE BELIEVE that with the proper instruction and support, all students will meet or exceed learning expectations.

WE BELIEVE adult learning is a lifelong commitment essential to student success.

WE BELIEVE diversity should be respected, appreciated, and valued in order to strengthen learning for all.

WE BELIEVE everyone is accountable and responsible for the success of every student.

WE BELIEVE active leadership is essential and everyone's responsibility

WE BELIEVE meaningful change will be necessary to ensure all students succeed.

WE BELIEVE all parts of a system must work together for our vision to become a reality.

# **Table of Contents**

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Achievement	4
School Culture and Climate	5
Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention	6
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	7
Parent and Community Engagement	8
School Context and Organization	9
Technology 1	0
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	1
Goals 1	2
Goal 1: Improve literacy and math across all grade levels.	3
Goal 2: Academic achievement of all students in all content areas will increase	0
Goal 3: Promote involvement to build strong relationships with parents and community members.	6
Goal 4: Establish a learning environment that promotes the physical, mental, and emotional well-being of all staff and students, while emphasizing school spirit, collaboration, 2 and hard work.	9
State Compensatory 3	5
Budget for Center Middle School	6
Personnel for Center Middle School	6
Title I Personnel	7
Campus Funding Summary 3	8

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment**

# **Demographics**

#### **Demographics Summary**

Demographics

Needs

- High mobility rate process to assist students that move in.
- Attendance more effective ways to address attendance and truancy.
- Assistance for ELL and at risk populations.

#### **Demographics Strengths**

- Overall Attendance
- Teacher/Student ratio is good as far as numbers and gender.

## **Student Achievement**

#### **Student Achievement Summary**

Student Achievement

Needs

- Improvement of Campus RTI process.
- Increase number of students achieving Mastery level.
- Increase growth ratio for students in Special Education.

#### **Student Achievement Strengths**

- Student Growth Measure
- Advanced Math to Algebra pass/completion rate

#### **School Culture and Climate**

#### **School Culture and Climate Summary**

#### Needs

- Discipline Improve communication between office and teacher regarding student given a referral consequence.
- Morale Staff and student morale is low do to physical condition of campus. If new campus is not an option can improvements be made to make overall appearance of campus more appealing. Increase student and staff morale by implementing physical campus improvements of ground and structures.
- Safety CMS has safety issues as a campus because of its open areas and access to buildings from outside community. Improve safety by limiting access to grounds and building from non faculty and staff.

#### **School Culture and Climate Strengths**

- Community feel that it is a safe school.
- Overall school Climate is positive.

## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

#### Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

#### Needs

- Improvement of support system for new teachers.
- Recruiting of teachers that mirror the ethnic makeup of our campus.
- Improve professional development throughout the year to include sessions that are relevant and meaningful to subject areas while increasing opportunities.
- Improve retention rate.

#### Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

- Addition of quality staff in needed subject areas
- Small Class Sizes
- Have a high pass rate. Low retention rate.

## Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

#### **Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary**

#### Needs

- Implement a Cross Curricular Focus Writing/Social Studies Math/Science
- Consistent BOY, MOY, EOY assessments in core subject areas
- Develop a schedule that allots time for PLC/Instructional Planning

#### Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

- Alignment/Rigor of Campus Based Assessments with STAAR
- Cross Curriculum Tutorial implementation/assistance

## **Parent and Community Engagement**

#### **Parent and Community Engagement Summary**

#### Needs

- Improvement of communication with parents as a staff.
- Increase opportunities for parent/teacher interaction other than Meet the Teacher or Open House.
- Training for teachers on customer service with parents/guardians.

#### Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

- Communicating information to parents and community through news, social media, and website
- Parent volunteers with clubs and organizations

## **School Context and Organization**

#### **School Context and Organization Summary**

#### Needs

- Adjustment in tutorial time to be more focused on individual students with needs instead of including the entire campus.
- Consistency of enforcement of campus goals, policies, and rules across the entire campus.
- More advertisement of campus instructional focus.

#### **School Context and Organization Strengths**

- Built in Tutorial time
- Extra-Curricular Activities Student involvement
- Counseling
- Physical Environment has been changed for improvements where possible basketball and soccer goals, weekly campus clean-up, teacher hall decorations.

# **Technology**

#### **Technology Summary**

#### Needs

- Include teacher input when selecting programs to be implemented.
- Align current technology to needed areas and increase where missing.
- Teacher training throughout the year, not just at the beginning.

#### **Technology Strengths**

- Availability of technology resources
- Instructional Technologist on campus
- WiFi availability in all campuses
- Every classroom teacher has a new desktop

# **Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation**

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

#### **Improvement Planning Data**

- District goals
- State and federal planning requirements

#### **Accountability Data**

• Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data

#### **Student Data: Assessments**

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- SSI: Compass Learning accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 6-8 (TEA approved statewide license)

#### **Student Data: Student Groups**

• Dyslexia data

#### Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records

#### **Employee Data**

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- Campus leadership data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact

#### Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

# Goals

Goal 1: Improve literacy and math across all grade levels.

**Performance Objective 1:** Improve reading scores by 10% in all categories on state reading assessments.

**High Priority** 

Evaluation Data Sources: CBA, MAP, and State Assessment

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Content curriculum will be implemented with fidelity following TEKS Resource Scope and Sequence.		Formative			
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP and State Assessment results	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators					
Director of Curriculum and Instruction					
Secondary Curriculum Coordinator					
RLA Instructors					
ESL Instructors					
Special Ed Instructor					
Reading Specialist					
Dir of Special Programs					
Title I:					
2.4					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Funding Sources: TEKS Resource System - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$2,761					

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Weekly meetings will be held to plan learning experiences that align with the written and tested curriculum.		Formative			
Student data will be reviewed weekly and instruction, as well as interventions, will be adjusted accordingly.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP and State Assessment results			- 1		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators					
Director of Curriculum and Instruction					
Secondary Curriculum Coordinator					
RLA Instructors					
ESL Instructors					
Special Ed Instructor					
Reading Specialist					
Reading/ESL Support Staff					
Director of Special Programs					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality					
Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Reading Specialist - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$70,917, Rosetta Stone - 263-Title III - \$245, Title III Bilingual/ESL Contracted - 263-Title III - \$1,771, ESL/Bilingual Aide - 263-Title III -					
\$21,032, State Comp Ed - Teacher/Prof Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$21,838.74, State Comp Ed - Support					
Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$49,094.39					

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews						
Strategy 3: RLA data meetings will be conducted at the end of every unit. Instructors will show RLA data. Progress will be	Formative		Formative :			Formative		
discussed and an action plan for upcoming units will be made.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June				
Staff will review and chart sup population data for every CBA, MAP, and benchmark assessment. Teachers will chart whether each sub pop met meets/masters target and note changes in instruction.								
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP and State Assessment results								
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators								
Director of Curriculum and Instruction								
Secondary Curriculum Coordinator								
RLA Instructors ESL Instructors								
Special Ed Instructor Reading Specialist								
Dir of Special Programs								
Dit of Special Programs								
Title I:								
2.4, 2.6								
- TEA Priorities:								
Build a foundation of reading and math								
- ESF Levers:								
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality								
Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction								

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews					
Strategy 4: Reading and writing will be an integral part of every lesson in every content area.		Formative				Formative	Summative
In every assignment/ lesson/activity instructors will develop ways to incorporate reading.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP and State Assessment results  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction Secondary Curriculum Coordinator RLA Instructors ESL Instructors Special Ed Instructor Dir of Special Programs  Title I: 2.4, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning	Dec	Feb	Apr	June			
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views				
Strategy 5: RLA vertical alignment meetings will be held between campuses every nine weeks to maintain systemic		Formative		Summative			
instructional initiatives.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instruction that results in increased academic achievement evident in walkthroughs, T-TESS, CBA, MAP and State Assessment results	Dec	reb	Apr	June			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction Secondary Curriculum Coordinator RLA Instructors ESL Instructors ESL Instructor Dir of Special Ed Instructor Dir of Special Programs  Title I: 2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$11,970.09							

Strategy 6 Details	Reviews							
<b>Strategy 6:</b> Provide professional development opportunities that support campus goals for student improvement in RLA.	Formative			Formative		Formative		Summative
Professional Development targeted at Closing the Gaps domain for all core teachers.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instruction that results in increased academic achievement evident in walkthroughs, T-TESS, CBA, MAP and State Assessment results  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators  Director of Curriculum and Instruction  Director of Special Services  Director of Special Programs  TEA Priorities:  Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math	Dec	Feb	Apr	June				
- ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Region 7 Contracted Services - Academic Content Coop - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$7,013								
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue						

Goal 1: Improve literacy and math across all grade levels.

**Performance Objective 2:** Improve math scores by 10% in all categories on state math assessments.

#### **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: State aligned writing rubric, CBA, MAP, State Assessments

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Content curriculum will be implemented with fidelity following TEKS Resource Scope and Sequence.	Formative			Summative	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP, and State Assessment results	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction Secondary Curriculum Coordinator					
Math Instructors ESL Instructors					
Special Ed Instructor Math Interventionist					
Title I:					
2.4 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction <b>Funding Sources:</b> Math Specialist - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$72,955, State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$25,941.76, Digital Learning Coordinator - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$16,176					

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Weekly meetings will be held to plan learning experiences that align with the written and tested curriculum. Student data will be reviewed weekly and instruction, as well as interventions, will be adjusted accordingly.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP, and State assessment results  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction Secondary Curriculum Coordinator Math Instructors Director of Special Services Dir of Special Programs  Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Math data meetings will be conducted at the end of every unit. Instructors will show math data. Progress will		Formative		Summative	
be discussed and an action plan for upcoming units will be made.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP and State Assessment results  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director or Curriculum and Instruction Secondary Curriculum Coordinator Math Instructors ESL Instructors Special Ed Instructor Math Interventionist  Title I: 2.4, 2.6  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Vertical alignment meetings will be held between campuses every nine weeks to maintain systemic		Formative		Summative
instructional initiatives.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved instruction that results in increased academic achievement evident in walkthroughs, T-TESS CBA, MAP and State Assessment results			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction				
Dir of Special Services				
Title I:				
2.4 TEA D : ::				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective				
Instruction				
Funding Sources: State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$9,786.04				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
<b>Strategy 5:</b> Provide professional development opportunities that support campus goals for student improvement in math.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved instruction that results in increased academic achievement evident in walkthroughs, T-TESS CBA, MAP and State Assessment results	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Director of Curriculum and Instruction				
Director of Special Services				
Dir of Special Programs				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	I

#### Goal 2: Academic achievement of all students in all content areas will increase

**Performance Objective 1:** The achievement gap among student groups will close by 3% as indicated on the "Closing the Gap".

#### **High Priority**

Evaluation Data Sources: Data disaggregation and planning based on the results to target areas of need

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Content curriculum will be implemented with fidelity following TEKS RS scope and sequence. Supplies for all		Formative		Summative
core subjects will be provided as needed.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP and State Assessment results				
Programs will be used to provide feedback and to maintain focused, on-task efforts in order to close the achievement gaps. (Go Guardian)				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Director of Curriculum and Instruction				
Secondary Curriculum Coordinator				
Content Instructors				
ESL Instructors				
Special Ed Instructor				
Title I:				
2.4				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: - 211-ESEA Title 1, Part A-Improving Basic Programs				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Weekly meetings will be conducted to plan learning experiences that align with the written and tested		Formative		Summative	
curriculum. Student assessment data will be evaluated from a variety of sources to adjust instruction as well as interventions.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic achievement evident in CBA, MAP and State Assessment results	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction Secondary Curriculum Coordinator Content Instructors ESL Instructors Special Ed Instructor					
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality					
Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  Funding Sources: Region 7 Contracted Services - DMAC - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$2,501, Region 7 Contracted Services - TExGuide - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$1,355					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 3: Data Meetings will be held to evaluate assessment data from a variety of sources to narrow achievement gaps			Summative		
between identified student populations. Meetings with students will be conducted following each assessment to review student assessment data and determine progress or lack of progress which will be the basis for adjusted instruction as well as interventions.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved academic achievement evident in CBA and State Assessments in 8th grade. Student understanding of Give Me 5 initiative and individual needs and achievement resulting in student growth.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Students Classroom Teachers					
Campus Administrators Secondary Curriculum Coordinator					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math  - ESF Levers:  Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Provide professional development opportunities that support campus goals for student improvement .		Formative		
PD on Give Me 5 initiative, Closing the Gap Domain.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instruction that results in increased academic achievement evident in walkthroughs, T-TESS CBA, MAP and State Assessments results.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Dir Secondary Instruction and RtI				
Dir of Special Services Dir of Special Programs				
TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers:				
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	<b>'</b>	1

#### Goal 2: Academic achievement of all students in all content areas will increase

**Performance Objective 2:** Improve science and social studies by 10% across all grade levels on district and state assessments.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Content curriculum will be implemented with fidelity following TEKS Resource Scope and Sequence.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Improved academic achievement evident in CBA and State Assessment results in 8th grade.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction Secondary Curriculum Coordinator Content Instructors				
ESL Instructors Director of Special Programs				
Title I: 2.4 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	,
Strategy 2: Weekly meetings will be held to plan learning experiences that align with the written and tested curriculum.	Formative			Summative
Student data will be reviewed weekly and instruction, as well as interventions, will be adjusted accordingly.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic achievement evident in CBA and State Assessment results in 8th grade.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators  Director of Curriculum and Instruction  Secondary Curriculum Coordinator  Director of Special Programs	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.6 - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: Curriculum Specialist Secondary - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$40,865, State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$20,983.93, State Comp Ed - Support Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$14,610.32				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Data meetings will be conducted at the end of every unit. Instructors will show data. Progress will be discussed		Formative		Summative
and an action plan for upcoming units will be made.	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff will review and chart sub population data for every CBA and benchmark assessment. Teachers will chart whether each sub pop met meets/masters target and note changes in instruction.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved academic achievement evident in CBA and state assessment results in 8th grade.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction Secondary Curriculum Coordinator Content Instructors  Title I: 2.4, 2.6  - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Vertical alignment meetings will be held between campuses every nine weeks to maintain systemic		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instruction that results in increased academic achievement evident in walkthroughs, T-TESS, CBA, and state assessments in 8th grade.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators         Director of Curriculum and Instruction         Director of Special Programs     </li> <li>ESF Levers:         Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction         Funding Sources: State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$28,164.53     </li> </ul>	Dec	Feb	Apr	June

Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Provide professional development opportunities that support campus goals for student improvement in science		Formative		Summative
and social studies.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved instruction that results in increased academic achievement evident in walkthroughs, T-TESS, CBA, and state assessment results in 8th grade.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction Director of Special Programs	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Director of Special Services  TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue	1	

Goal 3: Promote involvement to build strong relationships with parents and community members.

**Performance Objective 1:** CMS will partner with parents in the educational success of their students.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** Sign-In sheets, quarterly newsletters

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Host annual Meet the Teacher		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent and family engagement	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators					
Classroom Instructors					
Title I:					
4.2					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
<b>Funding Sources:</b> Parent Family Engagement - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$1,875, Parent Liaison - 211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs - \$8,379					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Host field day in the spring		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent and community engagement	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			r		
Physical Education Instructor					
Title I:					
4.2					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Host parent nights in the fall and spring semesters	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent engagement Increased student performance	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Instructors				
Support Staff				
Title I:				
4.2 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	l	1

Goal 3: Promote involvement to build strong relationships with parents and community members.

Performance Objective 2: Inform parents/community of school progress and successes

Evaluation Data Sources: Quarterly reports, views

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Produce a quarterly communication that will appear on campus media and in local news affiliates.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent and community engagement Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction  Title I: 4.2	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Utilize social media platforms to communicate to parents and community		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent and community engagement	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Dir of Secondary Instruction and RtI Journalism Instructor  Title I: 4.2			-	
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	-1

**Goal 4:** Establish a learning environment that promotes the physical, mental, and emotional well-being of all staff and students, while emphasizing school spirit, collaboration, and hard work.

Performance Objective 1: Address the social and emotional learning of students

Evaluation Data Sources: The creation of campus character development plans that is reported to the Board of Trustee in October/November meeting.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Provide character development and counseling programs.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduced discipline incidents Increased extra-curricular participation	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum of Instruction School Counselor Student Need Liaison  Title I: 2.4, 2.6  Funding Sources: State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$47,068, Counseling Supplies - 270 ESEA, Title VI Pt. B, Rural & Low-Income Schoo - \$100, Region 7 Contracted Services - Professional School Counselor - 270 ESEA, Title VI Pt. B, Rural & Low-Income Schoo - \$378, Staff Dev. Prof. School Counselor Registration Fees & Travel - 270 ESEA, Title VI Pt. B, Rural & Low-Income Schoo - \$500					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Teach team concepts, hard work, and personal development through extra-curricular programs.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduced discipline incidents Increased extra-curricular participation	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Athletic Director Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction  Title I: 2.4, 2.6					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Design, support, and encourage extracurricular programs that instill competitive values and teamwork among		Formative		Summative
all student groups  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduced discipline incidents	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Increased extra-curricular participation				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators Director of Curriculum and Instruction				
Athletic Director				
Program Coordinators				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
<b>Funding Sources:</b> State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel - 199-General Fund - \$5,194.82, Region 7 Contracted Services - Health, Nurses, and PE - 270 ESEA, Title VI Pt. B, Rural & Low-Income Schoo - \$315				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue		

**Goal 4:** Establish a learning environment that promotes the physical, mental, and emotional well-being of all staff and students, while emphasizing school spirit, collaboration, and hard work.

**Performance Objective 2:** Provide Pregnancy Related Services (PRS) plan to serve prenatal and postpartum students to help pregnant students adjust academically, mentally, and physically and stay in school.

Evaluation Data Sources: PEIMS data such as Student Attendance

PRS Program Student Files

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Compensatory Education Home Instruction (CEHI) for the regular education student provided by a certified		Formative		Summative	
strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower drop out rate Higher completion rate Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Services Campus counselors School nurse CEHI Instructor  Title I: 2.6	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Individual counseling, peer counseling/support group, and self-help programs		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower drop out rate Higher completion rate Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Services Campus counselors School nurse CEHI Instructor  Title I: 2.6	Dec	Feb	Apr	June	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
<b>Strategy 3:</b> Transportation for children of students to/from the campus or childcare center		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower drop out rate Higher completion rate	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Services Campus counselors				
School nurse				
CEHI Instructor				
Director of Maintenance and Transportation				
Title I:				
2.6				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Transportation for students to/from home, campus, (if student meets CISD transportation guidelines)		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower drop out rate	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Higher completion rate				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Services Campus counselors				
School nurse				
CEHI Instructor				
Director Maintenance and Transportation				
Title I:				
2.6				
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 5: Instruction related to child development, parenting, and home and family living		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower drop out rate	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Higher completion rate				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Services Campus counselors				
School nurse				
CEHI Instructor				

Strategy 6 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 6: Assistance in obtaining available services from government agencies or community service organizations,	Formativ	Formative		Summative
including prenatal and postnatal health and nutrition programs	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Lower drop out rate Higher completion rate				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Director of Special Services Campus counselors				
School nurse				
CEHI Instructor				
Title I:				
2.6				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

**Goal 4:** Establish a learning environment that promotes the physical, mental, and emotional well-being of all staff and students, while emphasizing school spirit, collaboration, and hard work.

### Performance Objective 3: Ensure Campus Safety

**Evaluation Data Sources:** District/County/State reporting requirements.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Safety Handbook review and update including classroom emergency folders.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Prevention and reduction of safety incidents	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Superintendent				
District Police				
County/State Emergency Departments				
Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: Regular training of all students and staff in emergency procedures.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Prevention and reduction of safety incidents	Dec	Dec Feb Apr		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators				
Superintendent				
District Police				
Classroom Teachers				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Completion of required safety drills.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: District/County/State reporting documentation	Dec	Feb	Apr	June
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators			r	
Superintendent				
District Police				
County/State Emergency Departments				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	1

# **State Compensatory**

# **Budget for Center Middle School**

**Total SCE Funds:** \$252,918.18 **Total FTEs Funded by SCE:** 8.889

**Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs** 

#### **Personnel for Center Middle School**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Armstrong, Jennifer	Teacher	0.1
Bittick, Tina	Teacher	0.1
Brown, Harlie	Teacher	0.09
Cassey, Stephanie	Teacher	0.1
Chachere, Amy	Teacher	0.11
Cloudy, Michael	Teacher	0.17
Daniels, Reggie	Teacher	1
Doggett, Jordan	Teacher	0.097
Glenn, Stephanie	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Gurley, Jessica	Teacher	0.11
Hagler, Randy	Teacher	0.1
Hand, Leland	Teacher	0.13
Hightower, Laura	Teacher	0.1
Hill, Jamarian	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Klein, Erica	Teacher	0.89
Link, Haley	Teacher	0.12
Locke, Mercedea	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Lusk, Lee Ann	Teacher	0.085
Massey, Katherine	Teacher	0.1

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Murphree, Carlton	Teacher	0.1
Owens, Karen	Teacher	0.1
Raymond, Katherine	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Rupp, Sara	Teacher	0.08
Waller, Christopher	Teacher	0.11
Ward, Lauren	Instructional Paraprofessional	1
Weaver, Alicia	Teacher	0.097

# **Title I Personnel**

<u>Name</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FTE</u>
Crain, Jayne	RTI Clerk Instructional Paraprofessional	Title 1	1
Haddox, Sheila	Curriculum Coordinator Secondary	Title 1	.5
Morris, Meredith	Math Specialist	Title 1	1
Stanford, Diana	Reading Specialist	Title 1	1

# **Campus Funding Summary**

			211 ESEA, Title 1 Pt. A Improving Basic Programs	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	TEKS Resource System	\$2,761.00
1	1	2	Reading Specialist	\$70,917.00
1	1	6	Region 7 Contracted Services - Academic Content Coop	\$7,013.00
1	2	1	Digital Learning Coordinator	\$16,176.00
1	2	1	Math Specialist	\$72,955.00
2	1	2	Region 7 Contracted Services - DMAC	\$2,501.00
2	1	2	Region 7 Contracted Services - TExGuide	\$1,355.00
2	2	2	Curriculum Specialist Secondary	\$40,865.00
3	1	1	Parent Family Engagement	\$1,875.00
3	1	1	Parent Liaison	\$8,379.00
			Sub-Total	\$224,797.00
			270 ESEA, Title VI Pt. B, Rural & Low-Income Schoo	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
4	1	1	Staff Dev. Prof. School Counselor Registration Fees & Travel	\$500.00
4	1	1	Counseling Supplies	\$100.00
4	1	1	Region 7 Contracted Services - Professional School Counselor	\$378.00
4	1	3	Region 7 Contracted Services - Health, Nurses, and PE	\$315.00
			Sub-To	\$1,293.00
			199-General Fund	
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Code	Amount
1	1	2	State Comp Ed - Support Personnel	\$49,094.39
1	1	2	State Comp Ed - Teacher/Prof Personnel	\$21,838.74
1	1	5	State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel	\$11,970.09
1	2	1	State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel	\$25,941.76
1	2	4	State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel	\$9,786.04
2	2	2	State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel	\$20,983.93
2	2	2	State Comp Ed - Support Personnel	\$14,610.32

			199-General Fund		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Cod	e	Amount
2	2	4	State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel		\$28,164.53
4	1	1	State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel		\$47,068.00
4	1	3	State Comp Ed - Teachers/Prof Personnel		\$5,194.82
•			Sub	-Total	\$234,652.62
			211-ESEA Title 1, Part A-Improving Basic Programs		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account	Code	Amount
2	1	1			\$0.00
Sub-Tota			Sub-Total	\$0.00	
			263-Title III		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed Account Co	de	Amount
1	1	2	ESL/Bilingual Aide		\$21,032.00
1	1	2	Title III Bilingual/ESL Contracted		\$1,771.00
1	1	2	Rosetta Stone		\$245.00
•		-	Sı	ıb-Total	\$23,048.00